#### FINAL REPORT AAFC-3

# Documentation for Pilot Watershed Study Digital Data: Essex Test and Control Watersheds

July 1995

SSC Contract No. 01950-4-1313/01-XSE

Compilation of a Computerized Database using Data Assembled under the Pilot Watershed Study of the Soil and Water Environmental Enhancement Program (SWEEP)

#### Prepared by:

Andrew Couturier 358 Metcalfe St., Guelph, Ontario N1E 4Z7

#### On behalf of:

Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Centre for Land and Biological Resources Research Land Resource Division 70 Fountain St., Guelph, Ontario N1H 3N6

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This report is the third in a series of five concerning digital data assembled during the Pilot Watershed Study (PWS), a major component of the Soil and Water Environmental Enhancement Program (SWEEP). Previous reports in this series have i) assessed the temporal resolution, spatial resolution, and potential integration of these data; and have ii) documented the structure and organization of the newly created PWS digital database. This paper builds on earlier reports by providing an overview of the digital data files associated with the Essex test and control watersheds. The overall aim of this report is to assist users of the PWS database by providing a manual for interpreting the contents of specific data files. Accordingly, the report lists and describes both the available spatial coverages in Arc/Info format, and their associated attribute files. This is followed by a series of highly detailed tables which outlines the structure of individual attribute files.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES 1
2.	OVERVIEW OF PWS DIGITAL DATABASE - ESSEX TEST AND CONTROLWATERSHEDS12.1 Spatial Coverage Accuracy Assessment and Definitions12.2 Info Data File Descriptions22.3 Info Data File Structures4
3.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS
REFE	RENCES
LIST	OF TABLES
Table Table	-1

#### 1. INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES

This report is the third in a series pertaining to data gathered during the course of the Pilot Watershed Study (PWS), a major research sub-program of the Soil and Water Environmental Enhancement Program (SWEEP). The initial report in this series (Couturier 1995a) documents the nature and quantity of data collected during the PWS available in digital form, which i) have potential application to the Indicators of Risk of Water Contamination (IROWC) currently being developed by MacDonald and Spaling (1995a,b), and which ii) are appropriate for incorporation into the Ontario Land Resource Unit (OLRU) database. The PWS data have subsequently been integrated into a 3-level hierarchical digital database. The organization of this database, as well as linkages among its components, are detailed in the second report in this series (Couturier 1995b). The purpose of this report is to document the available spatial coverages and associated attribute information pertaining to the Essex test and control watersheds which now reside in the OLRU database. This information is provided in the remainder of the report.

# 2. OVERVIEW OF PWS DIGITAL DATABASE - ESSEX TEST AND CONTROL WATERSHEDS

This section describes the contents of the Essex portion of the PWS database. First, a brief description of the available spatial coverages is provided. This is followed by a general listing of Info data files and their contents. Lastly, the precise structure (item names, width, description, etc.) of individual data files, or groups of similar files is detailed. The reader is reminded that all files discussed in this report are located in the directory **olru/gis-data/ondata/sweep/essex**. Additional information concerning file naming conventions and database organization and linkages can be found in Report 2 of this series (Couturier 1995b).

### 2.1 Spatial Coverage Accuracy Assessment and Definitions

A variety of **spatial coverages** have been incorporated into the PWS database in Arc/Info format (Table 1). All of these coverages have been digitized from original paper maps supplied by the PWS contractors, BEAK, Ecologistics, and Ecological Services for Planning. As noted in Couturier (1995b), the coverages have not yet been registered to a real-world coordinate system. In its current state, therefore, the database can be used for thematic mapping and spatial association, but cannot be used to calculate distances and areas. Registration should be relatively straight forward, since road intersections in the Essex road coverages can be matched with identical locations in other OLRU coverages.

A. Couturier July 1995

Table 1. Spatial Coverages and Descriptions

COVERAGE NAME	NO. RECS	LENGTH	DESCRIPTION
ECBENCH	2	46	Essex Control Soil Benchmark Monitoring Sites
ECBOUND	2	46	Essex Control Watershed Boundary
ECCESIUM	2	46	Essex Control Cesium Benchmark Sites
ECFLD889	36	46	Essex Control Field Boundaries 1989
ECFLD890	37	46	Essex Control Field Boundaries 1990
ECFLD991	37	46	Essex Control Field Boundaries 1991
ECMICRO	3	46	Essex Control Micro-basin locations
ECROADS	7	74	Essex Control Watershed Main Roads
ECSOILS	11	46	Essex Control Soil Polygons
ECGROUND	2	46	Essex Control Groundwater Monitoring Sites
ETBENCH	2	46	Essex Test Soil Benchmark Monitoring Sites
ETBOUND	2	46	Essex Test Watershed Boundary
ETCESIUM	2	46	Essex Test Cesium Benchmark Sites
ETFLD889	67	46	Essex Test Field Boundaries 1989
ETFLD890	64	46	Essex Test Field Boundaries 1990
ETFLD991	63	46	Essex Test Field Boundaries 1991
ETMICRO	3	46	Essex Test Micro-basin locations
ETROADS	7	74	Essex Test Watershed Main Roads
ETSOILS	6	46	Essex Test Soil Polygons
ETGROUND	2	46	Essex Test Groundwater Monitoring Sites

The accuracy of all coverages is unknown, since procedures used to create the original paper maps are not documented. However, it is apparent that the soil polygons, soil benchmark sites, cesium benchmark sites, and the watershed boundary were all originally drawn on an OBM 1:10000 sheet, which was subsequently digitized by the contractor. Field boundary maps for three crop seasons, as well as micro-basin location maps, were ferreted from the plethora of PWS materials delivered by Ecologistics. Fortunately, these maps utilized the same watershed boundary and control points as the OBM soils map, so that spatial integration of the soils, crops, and micro-basin layers was possible. Thus, while the accuracy of coverages as a whole is unknown (but is assumed accurate due to the large scale base map), the accuracy of the coverages relative to one another is deemed high. The locations of groundwater monitoring sites were unavailable on an accurately defined base map, and thus were visually estimated (digitized on-screen) using roads and fields boundaries as guides.

### 2.2 Info Data File Descriptions

This section provides a general overview and listing (Table 2) of the data files

A. Couturier July 1995

associated with the spatial coverages described above. As with the spatial features, the accuracy of the attribute data is unknown. Any files containing **area** measurements, e.g., field hectares (E\*CROPS\*), should be treated with caution, as these items were estimated by farm operators and PWS field staff (while digitizing the field boundary maps, many errors and inconsistencies in field sizes were apparent). Furthermore, since yield per hectare data were based on possibly incorrect field sizes, these values should also be treated with caution, and may need to be recalculated. Once the database has been registered to real-world coordinates, it will be possible to check the estimated values against the values generated by the GIS. Finally, where individual fields or entire records (=1 day in climate files) of data are missing, a value such as -99, or some variation thereof, has been inserted to maintain a continuous sequence of values. This should be considered when performing arithmetic operations.

A. Couturier July 1995

 Table 2.
 Info File Definitions and Descriptions

TYPE	NAME	NO. RECS	LENGTH	DESCRIPTION
DF	ESSATMP	1323	160	Hourly Air Temperature degrees celsius
DF	ESSPREC	1485	160	Hourly Precipitation mm
DF	ESSSRAD	1291	160	Hourly Solar Radiation langleys
DF	ESSWSPD	1336	160	Hourly Wind Speed m/s
DF	ESSWDIR	1291	160	Hourly Wind Direction degrees from north
DF	ESSRHUM	42	200	Daily Relative Humidity %
DF	ESSST5	44	268	Daily Soil Temperature 5cm depth
DF	ESSST50	44	206	Daily Soil Temperature 50cm depth
DF	ESSST150	40	206	Daily Soil Temperature 150cm depth
DF	ESSEVAP	4	80	Monthly Potential Evaporation mm
DF	ECCROPS889	26	154	Essex Control Crop and Tillage Data 1988-89
DF	ECCROPS890	27	144	Essex Control Crop and Tillage Data 1989-90
DF	ECCROPS991	27	144	Essex Control Crop and Tillage Data 1990-91
DF	ESSSOIL	14	164	Essex Soil Polygon Legend File (both Control and Test)
DF	ECBENCH	24	458	Essex Control Soil Benchmark Data
DF	ECFLOW	1315	170	Essex Control Hourly Discharge cubic dm
DF	ECWQUAL	379	118	Essex Control Water Quality Monitoring
DF	ECB1FLOW	48	222	Essex Control Micro-basin 1 Daily Discharge cubic m
DF	ECB1WQL	102	62	Essex Control Micro-basin 1 Water Quality Monitoring
DF	ECB2FLOW	48	284	Essex Control Micro-basin 2 Daily Discharge cubic m
DF	ECB2WQL	120	62	Essex Control Micro-basin 2 Water Quality Monitoring
DF	ECGWD	8	70	Essex Control Groundwater Depth m
DF	ECGPC	47	48	Essex Control Groundwater Phosphorous Concentration mg/l
DF	ETCROPS889	46	154	Essex Test Crop and Tillage Data 1988-89
DF	ETCROPS890	45	144	Essex Test Crop and Tillage Data 1989-90
DF	ETCROPS991	43	154	Essex Test Crop and Tillage Data 1990-91
DF	ETBENCH	24	458	Essex Test Soil Benchmark Data
DF	ETFLOW	1315	170	Essex Test Hourly Discharge cubic dm
DF	ETWQUAL	382	118	Essex Test Water Quality Monitoring
DF	ETB1FLOW	48	222	Essex Test Micro-basin 1 Daily Discharge cubic m
DF	ETB1WQL	128	62	Essex Test Micro-basin 1 Water Quality Monitoring
DF	ETB2FLOW	48	222	Essex Test Micro-basin 2 Daily Discharge cubic m
DF	ETB2WQL	106	62	Essex Test Micro-basin 2 Water Quality Monitoring
DF	ETGWD	8	70	Essex Test Groundwater Depth m
DF	ETGPC	57	48	Essex Test Groundwater Phosphorous Concentration mg/l

#### 2.3 Info Data File Structures

The remainder of the report describes the structure of individual data files, or groups of similar files. The actual structure of each file depends primarily upon the frequency at which data were sampled, e.g. hourly, daily, monthly, etc. Generally, files containing data recorded on an hourly basis (e.g. air temperature, precipitation) share the same basic structure: year, month and day, followed by 24 fields of data (one for each hour). Similarly, files containing data gathered at daily increments share a common structure: year, month, followed by 31 fields of data (one for each day of each month). Data files with monthly measurements consist of: year, month, value (e.g. monthly evaporation). The remaining files (those containing a variety of parameters not gathered at regular intervals) vary in their structure. The structures of the entire set of Info files associated with the Essex control and test watersheds are documented below.

**Note**: For additional information regarding the nature of PWS digital data (units, methods, temporal duration, etc.), the reader is directed to Report 1 in this series (Couturier 1995a) and to the associated SWEEP reports cited therein. Also, in special cases, notations are provided in the following pages to indicate where additional information can found, i.e., the values for many of the items in the files described below are already documented elsewhere.

ESSATMP - Hourly Air Temperature

COLUMN ITEM NAME	WIDTH	OUTPUT	TYPE	N.DEC	DESCRIPTION
1 YY	2	2	1	-	Year
3 MM	2	2		-	Month
5 DD	2	2	- 1	-	Day
7 T0	6	6	N	2	12:00am Hourly Temperature degrees celsius
13 T1	6	6	N	2	1:00
19 T2	6	6	N	2	2:00
25 T3	6	6	N	2	3:00
31 T4	6	6	N	2	4:00
37 T5	6	6	N	2	5:00
43 T6	6	6	N	2	6:00
49 T7	6	6	N	2	7:00
55 T8	6	6	N	2	8:00
61 T9	6	6	Ν	2	9:00
67 T10	6	6	N	2	10:00
73 T11	6	6	N	2	11:00
79 T12	6	6	N	2	12:00pm
85 T13	6	6	N	2	13:00
91 T14	6	6	N	2	14:00
97 T15	6	6	N	2	15:00
103 T16	6	6	N	2	16:00
109 T17	6	6	N	2	17:00
115 T18	6	6	N	2	18:00
121 T19	6	6	Ν	2	19:00
127 T20	6	6	N	2	20:00
133 T21	6	6	Ν	2	21:00
139 T22	6	6	N	2	22:00
145 T23	6	6	N	2	23:00
151 NAME1	10	10	С	-	Watershed I.D.**

<sup>\*\*</sup>link to .PAT

**ESSPREC** - Hourly Precipitation

COLUMN ITEM NAME	WIDTH	OUTPUT	TYPE	N.DEC	DESCRIPTION
1 YY	2	2	- 1	-	Year
3 MM	2	2	I	-	Month
5 DD	2	2	- 1	-	Day
7 T0	6	6	N	3	12:00am Hourly precipitation mm
13 T1	6	6	N	3	1:00
19 T2	6	6	N	3	2:00
25 T3	6	6	N	3	3:00
31 T4	6	6	N	3	4:00
37 T5	6	6	N	3	5:00
43 T6	6	6	N	3	6:00
49 T7	6	6	N	3	7:00
55 T8	6	6	N	3	8:00
61 T9	6	6	N	3	9:00
67 T10	6	6	N	3	10:00
73 T11	6	6	N	3	11:00
79 T12	6	6	N	3	12:00pm
85 T13	6	6	N	3	13:00
91 T14	6	6	N	3	14:00
97 T15	6	6	N	3	15:00
103 T16	6	6	N	3	16:00
109 T17	6	6	N	3	17:00
115 T18	6	6	N	3	18:00
121 T19	6	6	N	3	19:00
127 T20	6	6	N	3	20:00
133 T21	6	6	N	3	21:00
139 T22	6	6	N	3	22:00
145 T23	6	6	Ν	3	23:00
151 NAME1	10	10	С	-	Watershed I.D.**

<sup>\*\*</sup>link to .PAT

ESSRAD - Hourly Solar Radiation

COLUMN ITEM NAME	WIDTH	OUTPUT	TYPE	N.DEC	DESCRIPTION
1 YY	2	2	ı	-	Year
3 MM	2	2	I	-	Month
5 DD	2	2	ı	-	Day
7 T0	6	6	N	1	12:00am Hourly Solar Radiation langleys
13 T1	6	6	N	1	1:00
19 T2	6	6	N	1	2:00
25 T3	6	6	N	1	3:00
31 T4	6	6	N	1	4:00
37 T5	6	6	N	1	5:00
43 T6	6	6	N	1	6:00
49 T7	6	6	N	1	7:00
55 T8	6	6	N	1	8:00
61 T9	6	6	N	1	9:00
67 T10	6	6	N	1	10:00
73 T11	6	6	Ν	1	11:00
79 T12	6	6	N	1	12:00pm
85 T13	6	6	Ν	1	13:00
91 T14	6	6	N	1	14:00
97 T15	6	6	Ν	1	15:00
103 T16	6	6	N	1	16:00
109 T17	6	6	Ν	1	17:00
115 T18	6	6	N	1	18:00
121 T19	6	6	N	1	19:00
127 T20	6	6	N	1	20:00
133 T21	6	6	N	1	21:00
139 T22	6	6	N	1	22:00
145 T23	6	6	N	1	23:00
151 NAME1	10	10	С	-	Watershed I.D.**

\*\*link to .PAT

ESSWSPD - Hourly Wind Speed

COLUMN ITEM NAME	WIDTH	OUTPUT	TYPE	N.DEC	DESCRIPTION
1 YY	2	2	1	-	Year
3 MM	2	2	I	-	Month
5 DD	2	2	- 1	-	Day
7 T0	6	6	N	2	12:00am Hourly Wind Speed m/s
13 T1	6	6	N	2	1:00
19 T2	6	6	N	2	2:00
25 T3	6	6	Ν	2	3:00
31 T4	6	6	N	2	4:00
37 T5	6	6	Ν	2	5:00
43 T6	6	6	N	2	6:00
49 T7	6	6	Ν	2	7:00
55 T8	6	6	N	2	8:00
61 T9	6	6	N	2	9:00
67 T10	6	6	N	2	10:00
73 T11	6	6	N	2	11:00
79 T12	6	6	N	2	12:00pm
85 T13	6	6	N	2	13:00
91 T14	6	6	N	2	14:00
97 T15	6	6	N	2	15:00
103 T16	6	6	N	2	16:00
109 T17	6	6	N	2	17:00
115 T18	6	6	N	2	18:00
121 T19	6	6	N	2	19:00
127 T20	6	6	N	2	20:00
133 T21	6	6	Ν	2	21:00
139 T22	6	6	N	2	22:00
145 T23	6	6	N	2	23:00
151 NAME1	10	10	С	-	Watershed I.D.**

<sup>\*\*</sup>link to .PAT

## **ESSWDIR** - Hourly Wind Direction

COLUMN ITEM NAME	WIDTH	OUTPUT	TYPE	N.DEC	DESCRIPTION
1 YY	2	2	ı	-	Year
3 MM	2	2	I	-	Month
5 DD	2	2	- 1	-	Day
7 T0	6	6	N	1	12:00am Hourly Wind Direction deg. from north
13 T1	6	6	N	1	1:00
19 T2	6	6	N	1	2:00
25 T3	6	6	N	1	3:00
31 T4	6	6	N	1	4:00
37 T5	6	6	N	1	5:00
43 T6	6	6	N	1	6:00
49 T7	6	6	N	1	7:00
55 T8	6	6	N	1	8:00
61 T9	6	6	N	1	9:00
67 T10	6	6	N	1	10:00
73 T11	6	6	N	1	11:00
79 T12	6	6	N	1	12:00pm
85 T13	6	6	N	1	13:00
91 T14	6	6	N	1	14:00
97 T15	6	6	N	1	15:00
103 T16	6	6	N	1	16:00
109 T17	6	6	N	1	17:00
115 T18	6	6	N	1	18:00
121 T19	6	6	N	1	19:00
127 T20	6	6	N	1	20:00
133 T21	6	6	N	1	21:00
139 T22	6	6	N	1	22:00
145 T23	6	6	N	1	23:00
151 NAME1	10	10	С	-	Watershed I.D.**

\*\*link to .PAT

ESSRHUM - Daily Relative Humidity

COLUMN ITEM NAME	WIDTH	OUTPUT	TYPE	N.DEC	DESCRIPTION
1 YY	2	2	I	-	Year
3 MM	2	2	ı	-	Month
5 D1	6	6	N	2	Daily Relative Humidity %
11 D2	6	6	N	2	
17 D3	6	6	N	2	
23 D4	6	6	N	2	
29 D5	6	6	N	2	
35 D6	6	6	N	2	
41 D7	6	6	N	2	
47 D8	6	6	N	2	
53 D9	6	6	N	2	
59 D10	6	6	N	2	
65 D11	6	6	N	2	
71 D12	6	6	N	2	
77 D13	6	6	N	2	
83 D14	6	6	N	2	
89 D15	6	6	N	2	
95 D16	6	6	N	2	
101 D17	6	6	N	2	
107 D18	6	6	<u>N</u>	2	
113 D19	6	6	N	2	
119 D20	6	6	N	2	
125 D21	6	6	N	2	
131 D22	6	6	N N	2	
137 D23 143 D24	6	6	N	2	
143 D24 149 D25	6	6	N N	2	
149 D25 155 D26	6	6	N	2	
161 D27	6	6	N	2	
167 D27	6	6	N	2	
173 D29	6	6	N	2	
173 D29 179 D30	6	6	N	2	
185 D31	6	6	N	2	
191 NAME1	10	10	C	-	Watershed I.D.**

<sup>\*\*</sup>link to .PAT

ESSST5, ESSST50, ESSST150 - Daily Soil Temperature, 5cm, 50cm, and 150cm depth

COLUMN ITEM NAME	WIDTH	OUTPUT	TYPE	N.DEC	DESCRIPTION
1 YY	2	2	- 1	-	Year
3 MM	2	2	I	-	Month
5 D1	6	6	N	2	Daily Soil Temperature degrees celsius
11 D2	6	6	N	2	
17 D3	6	6	N	2	
23 D4	6	6	N	2	
29 D5	6	6	N	2	
35 D6	6	6	N	2	
41 D7	6	6	N	2	
47 D8	6	6	N	2	
53 D9	6	6	N	2	
59 D10	6	6	N	2	
65 D11	6	6	N	2	
71 D12	6	6	N	2	
77 D13	6	6	N	2	
83 D14	6	6	N	2	
89 D15	6	6	N	2	
95 D16	6	6	N	2	
101 D17	6	6	N	2	
107 D18	6	6	N	2	
113 D19	6	6	N	2	
119 D20	6	6	N	2	
125 D21	6	6	N	2	
131 D22	6	6	N	2	
137 D23	6	6	N	2	
143 D24	6	6	N	2	
149 D25	6	6	N	2	
155 D26	6	6	N	2	
161 D27	6	6	N	2	
167 D28	6	6	N	2	
173 D29	6	6	N	2	
179 D30	6	6	N	2	
185 D31	6	6	N	2	
191 NAME1	10	10	С	-	Watershed I.D.**

<sup>\*\*</sup>link to .PAT

ESSEVAP - Monthly Potential Evaporation

COLUMN ITEM NAME	WIDTH	OUTPUT	TYPE	N.DEC	DESCRIPTION
1 YY	4	4	ı	-	Year
5 M1	5	5	N	1	January
10 M2	5	5	N	1	February
15 M3	5	5	N	1	March
20 M4	5	5	N	1	April
25 M5	5	5	N	1	May
30 M6	5	5	N	1	June
35 M7	5	5	N	1	July
40 M8	5	5	N	1	August
45 M9	5	5	N	1	September
50 M10	5	5	N	1	October
55 M11	5	5	N	1	November
60 M12	5	5	N	1	December
65 NAME1	10	10	С	-	Watershed I.D.**

<sup>\*\*</sup>link to .PAT

## ECCROPS889, ETCROPS889 - Crop and Tillage data, 1988-89

COLUMN ITEM NAME	WIDTH	OUTPUT	TYPE	N.DEC	DESCRIPTION
1 NAME3	10	10	С	-	Field No.**
11 ACRES	3	3	N	0	Field Acres
14 HECTARES	5	5	N	1	Field Hectares
19 CROP	3	3	I	-	Crop Type
22 PRITILL	3	3	- 1	-	Primary Tillage
25 SECTILL	40	40	С	-	Secondary Tillage
65 COVER1088	5	5	N	1	% Cover October 1988
70 CODE1	5	5	С	-	Method of cover calculation
75 COVER489	5	5	N	1	% Cover April 1989
80 CODE2	5	5	С	-	Method of cover calculation
85 COVER689	5	5	N	1	% Cover June 1989
90 CODE3	5	5	С	-	Method of cover calculation
95 COVER1089	5	5	N	1	% Cover October 1989
100 CODE4	5	5	С	-	Method of cover calculation
105 TOTYIELD	11	11	N	1	Total Yield kg
116 YIELD	9	9	N	1	Yield kg/ha
125 SOURCE	10	10	С	-	Method of yield calculation
135 NAME1	10	10	С	_	Watershed I.D.
145 NAME2	10	10	С	-	Sub-watershed I.D.

<sup>\*\*</sup>link to .PAT

Please refer to Appendix D of *Pilot Watershed Study Report #4: Evaluation of Conservation Systems: Soils and Crops* (Ecologistics Limited 1994b) to interpret codes for crop type, primary tillage, secondary tillage, and method of yield calculation.

## ECCROPS890, ETCROPS890 - Crop and Tillage data, 1989-90

COLUMN ITEM NAME	WIDTH	OUTPUT	TYPE	N.DEC	DESCRIPTION
1 NAME3	10	10	С	-	Field No.**
11 ACRES	3	3	N	0	Field Acres
14 HECTARES	5	5	N	1	Field Hectares
19 CROP	3	3	I	-	Crop Type
22 PRITILL	3	3	I	-	Primary Tillage
25 SECTILL	40	40	С	-	Secondary Tillage
65 COVER490	5	5	N	1	% Cover April 1990
70 CODE2	5	5	С	-	Method of cover calculation
75 COVER690	5	5	N	1	% Cover June 1990
80 CODE3	5	5	С	-	Method of cover calculation
85 COVER990	5	5	N	1	% Cover September 1990
90 CODE4	5	5	С	-	Method of cover calculation
95 TOTYIELD	10	10	N	1	Total Yield kg
105 YIELD	9	9	N	1	Yield kg/ha
114 SOURCE	10	10	С	-	Method of yield calculation
124 NAME1	10	10	С	-	Watershed I.D.
134 NAME2	10	10	С	-	Sub-watershed I.D.

<sup>\*\*</sup>link to .PAT

Please refer to Appendix D of *Pilot Watershed Study Report #4: Evaluation of Conservation Systems: Soils and Crops* (Ecologistics Limited 1994b) to interpret codes for crop type, primary tillage, secondary tillage, and method of yield calculation.

## ECCROPS991, ETCROPS991 - Crop and Tillage data, 1990-91

COLUMN ITEM NAME	WIDTH	OUTPUT	TYPE	N.DEC	DESCRIPTION
1 NAME3	10	10	С	-	Field No.**
11 ACRES	3	3	N	0	Field Acres
14 HECTARES	5	5	N	1	Field Hectares
19 CROP	3	3	I	-	Crop Type
22 PRITILL	3	3	- 1	-	Primary Tillage
25 SECTILL	40	40	С	-	Secondary Tillage
65 COVER491	5	5	N	1	% Cover April 1991
70 CODE2	5	5	С	-	Method of cover calculation
75 COVER691	5	5	N	1	% Cover June 1991
80 CODE3	5	5	С	-	Method of cover calculation
85 COVER991	5	5	N	1	% Cover September 1991
90 CODE4	5	5	С	-	Method of cover calculation
95 TOTYIELD	10	10	N	1	Total Yield kg
105 YIELD	9	9	N	1	Yield kg/ha
114 SOURCE	10	10	С	-	Method of yield calculation
124 NAME1	10	10	С	-	Watershed I.D.
134 NAME2	10	10	С	-	Sub-watershed I.D.

<sup>\*\*</sup>link to .PAT

Please refer to Appendix D of *Pilot Watershed Study Report #4: Evaluation of Conservation Systems: Soils and Crops* (Ecologistics Limited 1994b) to interpret codes for crop type, primary tillage, secondary tillage, and method of yield calculation.

ESSSOIL - Soil Polygon Legend File

COLUMN ITEM NAME	WIDTH	OUTPUT	TYPE	N.DEC	DESCRIPTION
1 NAME3	10	10	С	-	Soil Polygon I.D.**
11 NAME2	10	10	С	-	Sub-watershed I.D.
21 NAME1	10	10	С	-	Watershed I.D.
31 SOILCODEP1	4	4	С	-	Soil Type
35 VARIANTP1	12	12	С	-	Soil Phase
47 DEPOSITION	5	5	С	-	Ap Horizon Depth
52 EROSION	5	5	С	-	Degree of Erosion
57 SLOPECLASS	7	7	С	-	Slope Class
64 DRAINAGE	4	4	С	-	Drainage Class
68 AREA	10	10	N	1	Soil Polygon Area ha
78 SOILNAME	20	20	С	-	Soil Name
98 TEXTURE	6	6	С	-	Soil Texture
104 LIMITINCLU	50	50	С	-	Complexity of Soil Polygon
154 PERSLOPE	10	10	С	-	Percent Slope

<sup>\*\*</sup>link to .PAT

Please refer to Soil Survey of the Pilot Watersheds, Southwestern Ontario Soil and Water Environmental Enhancement Program (Ecological Services for Planning 1990) for further information on the Soil Polygon Legend File.

OLUMN ITEM NAME	WIDTH	OUTPUT	TYPE	N.DEC	DESCRIPTION
1 NAME3	10	10	С	-	Benchmark Site I.D.**
11 SLOPE_POS	1	1	I	-	Slope Position (1=Top 2=Middle 3=Bottom)
12 YEAR	4	4	1	-	Year
16 SEASON	15	15	С	-	Post-harvest, Pre-plant, etc.
31 INFILT	7	7	N	2	Infiltration Rate m/s
38 BDENSITY_5	7	7	N	2	Bulk Density 5cm depth g/cm3
45 BDENSITY_10	7	7	N	2	Bulk Density 10cm depth g/cm3
52 BDENSITY_15	7	7	N	2	Bulk Density 15cm depth g/cm3
59 BDENSITY_20	7	7	N	2	Bulk Density 20cm depth g/cm3
66 BDENSITY_25	7	7	N	2	Bulk Density 25cm depth g/cm3
73 BDENSITY_30	7	7	N	2	Bulk Density 30cm depth g/cm3
80 MOIST_SURF	7	7	N	2	Moisture Content - surface
87 MOIST_15	7	7	N	2	Moisture Content - 15cm depth
94 MOIST_30	7	7	N	2	Moisture Content - 30cm depth
101 AVGBDENS_015	7	7	N	2	Average Bulk Density 0-15cm g/cm3
108 AVGBDENS_1530	7	7	N	2	Average Bulk Density 15-30cm g/cm3
115 PSDC_GRAVEL	7	7	N	2	Particle Size Dist Calgon Dispersed
122 PSDC_VCSAND	7	7	N	2	п
129 PSDC_CSAND	7	7	N	2	п
136 PSDC_MSAND	7	7	N	2	п
143 PSDC_FSAND	7	7	N	2	п
150 PSDC_VFSAND	7	7	N	2	п
157 PSDC_SAND	7	7	N	2	п
164 PSDC_SILT	7	7	N	2	п
171 PSDC_CLAY	7	7	N	2	п
178 TEXTURE	20	20	С	-	Soil Texture
198 PSDW_GRAVEL	7	7	N	2	Particle Size Dist Water Dispersed
205 PSDW_VCSAND	7	7	N	2	п
212 PSDW_CSAND	7	7	N	2	п
219 PSDW_MSAND	7	7	N	2	п
226 PSDW_FSAND	7	7	N	2	п
233 PSDW_VFSAND	7	7	N	2	"
240 PSDW_SAND	7	7	N	2	п
247 PSDW_SILT	7	7	N	2	"
254 PSDW_CLAY	7	7	N	2	п
261 TEXT2	20	20	С	-	Soil Texture
281 BIOMASS	7	7	N	2	Biological Activity Index - microbial biomas
288 AUPL_015	7	7	N	2	Atterburg Upper Plastic Limit 0-15cm %
295 AUPL_1530	7	7	N	2	Atterburg Upper Plastic Limit 15-30cm %
302 ALPL_015	7	7	N	2	Atterburg Lower Plastic Limit 0-15cm %
309 ALPL_1530	7	7	N	2	Atterburg Lower Plastic Limit 15-30cm %
316 API_015	7	7	N	2	Atterburg Plasticity Index 0-15cm
323 API_1530	7	7	N	2	Atterburg Plasticity Index 15-30cm

330 ORGANMAT	7	7	N	2	Organic Matter %
337 PHOSPHOROUS	8	8	N	2	Phosphorous mg/l
345 POTASSIUM	8	8	N	2	Potassium mg/l
353 MAGNESIUM	8	8	N	2	Magnesium mg/l
361 CALCIUM	8	8	N	2	Calcium mg/l
369 AGGSTABIL	7	7	N	2	Wet Aggregate Stability %
376 PH	7	7	N	2	Soil pH
383 CEC	7	7	N	2	Cation Exchange Capacity meq/100g
390 CALCARB	7	7	N	2	Calcium Carbonate %
397 AMHC_015_SAT	7	7	N	2	Moisture Retention 0-15cm saturated
404 AMHC_015_100MB	7	7	N	2	Moisture Retention 0-15cm 100mB
411 AMHC_015_15BAR	7	7	N	2	Moisture Retention 0-15cm 15 Bar
418 AMHC_1530_SAT	7	7	N	2	Moisture Retention 15-30cm saturated
425 AMHC_1530_100MB	7	7	N	2	Moisture Retention 15-30cm 100mB
432 AMHC_1530_15BAR	7	7	N	2	Moisture Retention 15-30cm 15 Bar
439 NAME1	10	10	С	-	Watershed I.D.
449 NAME2	10	10	С	-	Sub-watershed I.D.

<sup>\*\*</sup>link to .PAT

Please refer to Appendix B of *Pilot Watershed Study Report #4: Evaluation of Conservation Systems: Soils and Crops* (Ecologistics Limited 1994b) and to the report *Soil Survey of the Pilot Watersheds, Southwestern Ontario Soil and Water Environmental Enhancement Program* (Ecological Services for Planning 1990) for additional information concerning soil benchmark monitoring.

## ECFLOW, ETFLOW - Sub-watershed Hourly Discharge

LCI LOW, LTI LOW	Oub W	itororiou i	lourly	Discri	argo
COLUMN ITEM NAME	WIDTH	OUTPUT	TYPE	N.DEC	DESCRIPTION
1 YY	2	2	ı	-	Year
3 MM	2	2	ı	-	Month
5 DD	2	2	ı	-	Day
7 T0	6	6	N	4	12:00am - Hourly discharge cubic dm
13 T1	6	6	N	4	1:00
19 T2	6	6	N	4	2:00
25 T3	6	6	N	4	3:00
31 T4	6	6	N	4	4:00
37 T5	6	6	N	4	5:00
43 T6	6	6	N	4	6:00
49 T7	6	6	N	4	7:00
55 T8	6	6	N	4	8:00
61 T9	6	6	N	4	9:00
67 T10	6	6	N	4	10:00
73 T11	6	6	N	4	11:00
79 T12	6	6	N	4	12:00pm
85 T13	6	6	N	4	13:00
91 T14	6	6	N	4	14:00
97 T15	6	6	N	4	15:00
103 T16	6	6	N	4	16:00
109 T17	6	6	N	4	17:00
115 T18	6	6	N	4	18:00
121 T19	6	6	N	4	19:00
127 T20	6	6	N	4	20:00
133 T21	6	6	N	4	21:00
139 T22	6	6	N	4	22:00
145 T23	6	6	N	4	23:00
151 NAME1	10	10	С	-	Watershed I.D.
161 NAME2	10	10	С	-	Sub-watershed I.D.**
**link to DAT					

<sup>\*\*</sup>link to .PAT

## ECWQUAL, ETWQUAL - Sub-watershed Water Quality Monitoring

COLUMN ITEM NAME	WIDTH	OUTPUT	TYPE	N.DEC	DESCRIPTION
1 YY	2	2	I	-	Year
3 MM	2	2	I	-	Month
5 DD	2	2	I	-	Day
7 TIME	4	4	I	-	Time
11 TSS	8	8	N	1	Suspended Solids mg/l
19 AMMON	8	8	N	3	Ammonia mg/l
27 TKN	8	8	N	2	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen mg/l
35 NITRI	8	8	N	1	Nitrite mg/l
43 NITRA	8	8	N	1	Nitrate mg/l
51 TP	8	8	N	3	Phosphorous mg/l
59 FRP	8	8	N	3	Filtered Reactive Phosphorous mg/l
67 PH	7	7	N	2	рН
74 CHLOR	8	8	N	1	Chloride mg/l
82 POTASS	8	8	N	1	Potassium mg/l
90 COND	8	8	I	-	Conductivity
98 NAME1	10	10	С	-	Watershed I.D.
108 NAME2	10	10	С	-	Sub-watershed I.D.**

<sup>\*\*</sup>link to .PAT

ECB1FLOW, ECB2FLOW, ETB1FLOW, ETB2FLOW - Micro-basin Daily Discharge

COLUMN ITEM NAME	WIDTH	OUTPUT	TYPE	N.DEC	DESCRIPTION
1 YY	4	4	I	-	Year
5 MM	2	2	I	-	Month
7 D1	6	6	N	2	Daily Discharge - cubic metres
13 D2	6	6	N	2	
19 D3	6	6	N	2	
25 D4	6	6	N	2	
31 D5	6	6	N	2	
37 D6	6	6	N	2	
43 D7	6	6	N	2	
49 D8	6	6	N	2	
55 D9	6	6	N	2	
61 D10	6	6	N	2	
67 D11	6	6	N	2	
73 D12	6	6	N	2	
79 D13	6	6	N	2	
85 D14	6	6	N	2	
91 D15	6	6	N	2	
97 D16	6	6	N	2	
103 D17	6	6	N	2	
109 D18	6	6	N	2	
115 D19	6	6	N	2	
121 D20	6	6	N	2	
127 D21	6	6	N	2	
133 D22	6	6	N	2	
139 D23	6	6	N	2	
145 D24	6	6	N	2	
151 D25	6	6	N	2	
157 D26	6	6	N	2	
163 D27	6	6	N	2	
169 D28	6	6	N	2	
175 D29	6	6	N	2	
181 D30	6	6	N	2	
187 D31	6	6	N	2	
193 NAME1	10	10	С	-	Watershed I.D.
203 NAME2	10	10	С	-	Sub-watershed I.D.
213 NAME3	10	10	С	-	Micro-basin I.D.**

<sup>\*\*</sup>link to .PAT

ECB1WQL, ECB2WQL, ETB1WQL, ETB2WQL - Micro-basin Water Quality Monitoring

COLUMN ITEM NAME	WIDTH	OUTPUT	TYPE	N.DEC	DESCRIPTION
1 YY	4	4	С	-	Year
5 MM	2	2	I	-	Month
7 DD	2	2	1	-	Day
9 TIME	4	4	I	-	Time
13 TSS	6	6	N	0	Suspended Solids mg/l
19 TP	7	7	N	3	Phosphorous mg/l
26 LEVEL	7	7	N	3	Water Level m
33 NAME1	10	10	С	-	Watershed I.D.
43 NAME2	10	10	С	-	Sub-watershed I.D.
53 NAME3	10	10	С	-	Micro-basin I.D.**

<sup>\*\*</sup>link to .PAT

## ECGWD, ETGWD - Groundwater Depth

COLUMN ITEM NAME	WIDTH	OUTPUT	TYPE	N.DEC	DESCRIPTION
1 NAME3	10	10	С	-	Groundwater Site I.D.**
11 YY	4	4	I	-	Year
15 M1	3	3	N	0	January
18 M2	3	3	N	0	February
21 M3	3	3	N	0	March
24 M4	3	3	N	0	April
27 M5	3	3	N	0	May
30 M6	3	3	N	0	June
33 M7	3	3	N	0	July
36 M8	3	3	N	0	August
39 M9	3	3	N	0	September
42 M10	3	3	N	0	October
45 M11	3	3	N	0	November
48 M12	3	3	N	0	December
51 NAME1	10	10	С	-	Watershed I.D.
61 NAME2	10	10	С	-	Sub-watershed I.D.

<sup>\*\*</sup>link to .PAT

## ECGPC, ETGPC - Groundwater Phosphorous Concentration

COLUMN ITEM NAME	WIDTH	OUTPUT	TYPE	N.DEC	DESCRIPTION
1 NAME3	10	10	С	-	Groundwater Site I.D.**
11 YY	2	2	I	-	Year
13 MM	2	2	- 1	-	Month
15 DD	2	2	I	-	Day
17 TIME	4	4	- 1	-	Estimated Time
21 TP	7	7	N	3	Phosphorous mg/l
28 NAME1	10	10	С	-	Watershed I.D.
38 NAME2	10	10	С	-	Sub-watershed I.D.

<sup>\*\*</sup>link to .PAT

#### 3. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This report documents the digital spatial coverages and associated attribute files received from the Pilot Watershed Study which pertain to the Essex watershed. The data are thought to be relatively accurate, although a precise estimate of their accuracy cannot be made (they were provided by a third party). These data will be used to apply and test the IROWC methodology at a variety of temporal and spatial scales. This report will serve both as a guide for locating files of interest, and as a manual for deciphering and interpreting the contents of specific files. For additional information, the reader is directed to the various reports cited in this document.

#### REFERENCES

- Couturier, A. 1995a. *Status and Assessment of Pilot Watershed Study Data.* Final Report AAFC-1. Guelph: Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Ontario Land Resource Unit.
- Couturier, A. 1995b. *File Organization and Linkages for the Pilot Watershed Study Digital Database.* Final Report AAFC-2. Guelph: Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Ontario Land Resource Unit.
- Ecological Services for Planning. 1990. Soil Survey of the Pilot Watersheds. Southwestern Ontario Soil and Water Environmental Enhancement Program. Guelph: Agriculture Canada.
- Ecologistics Limited. 1994b. *Pilot Watershed Study Report #4: Evaluation of Conservation Systems: Soils and Crops.* Guelph: Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada.
- MacDonald, K.B. and H. Spaling. 1995a. *Indicators of Risk of Water Contamination: Concepts and Principles*. Agri-Environmental Indicator Project Working Paper. Guelph: Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Ontario Land Resource Unit.
- MacDonald, K.B. and H. Spaling. 1995b. *Indicators of Risk of Water Contamination: Methodological Development.* Agri-Environmental Indicator Project Working Paper. Guelph: Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Ontario Land Resource Unit.